

Derby City Partnership

City for Children and Young People's Executive Meeting held on 22 March 2010

Present

Andrew Flack Mary Gordon	(Chair) Corporate Director for Children and Young People Representing Hugh Hastie - Chief Executive, Connexions Derbyshire
Rita Silvester	Assistant Director, Children and Young People's Department Derby City Council
Trish Thompson Suanne Lim	(Vice-Chair) Director for Commissioning, NHS Derby City Head of Youth Offending Service, Community Safety Partnership
Gary Cathcart Heather Sahman Steve Logan Ann Rowlands	Derbyshire Constabulary Derbyshire Mental Health Service Representing Janet Holland - Chair, Children and Young People's Network
John Amos Chris Wheyway Jo Ward Simon Emsley	 Headteacher, Bemrose School Headteacher, Lakeside Primary School

In Attendance

Becky	Voices in Action
Maris Stratulis	Head of Service, Children and Young People's Department
Chris Newton	Head of Service, Children and Young People's Department
Verna Bayliss	Derby City Partnership
Tracy Coley	Children and Young People's Department

Item

Action

1.1 Introductions, apologies and declarations of interest.

Apologies were received from Trish Thompson, Les Allen, Adam Wilkinson, David Elliott, Chris Warren. There were no declarations of interest.

1.2 Confirmation and matters arising from the minutes of the last meetings

The minutes of the meeting held on 8 February 2010 were approved as a true and accurate record.

Matters arising from 8 February

- Alison Parkin reported that she was still struggling to get a Finance group together around joint arrangements and pooling resources. Relevant parties had been identified, but getting them to a meeting was proving somewhat difficult.
- Teenage Pregnancy Report – AF and SM had taken this item to the Secondary Heads meeting on Friday and a good conversation had been held. To be taken forward by Sheila Macfarlane.
- VIA – AF was pretty sure that the £5000 contribution would be achieved from the CYP budget, but was trying to push in other directions. The CSP offered £1000. Commitment had been received from the PCT, but no feedback had been received on their contribution. Andy Hough had emailed AF regarding their contribution.
- Sustainable Community Strategy. VB reported. DCP management group had decided to undertake an in-depth consultation and were looking at the vision for a Sustainable Community Strategy. VB queried whether half an hour could be given over to this subject at the next CYP Executive. Agreed to agenda at the

AP

Item	Action
<p>next meeting.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Voices in Action – The Children's Commissioner had just taken up the post, so AF would follow this up with Adele. Shadowing – AF reported that places were still being looked at for both Sam and Ryan McDonald, with Councillors. Two Parliamentary candidates had been asked but had declined. 	AS
1.3 2009/2010 NEET Figures	
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Mary Gordon reported on the NEET figures and gave a presentation on the progression of Year 11 young people. There were some positive figures, with an increase in young people entering education and a decrease in NEET to 3.8%. The year on year education figure had also gone up. Job centre plus programmes. AF had provided information to Paul Davies so that SIPs can use this in schools. Mary Gordon to double check figures on white males. From 1 April 2010 it would be a requirement of the Local Authority to provide funding for young people in custody also 	
2.0 Update Reports	
2.1 JSCB Report	
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> A summary report had been circulated and actions noted. Healthy Children - The PCT had not yet responded to the document so Rita would be going back to them for a response. 	RS
2.2 5/3 Stakeholder event, CYP 2010/11 Action Plan Headline Priorities and Performance Information	
<p>Maris reported on the very successful stakeholder event that was held on 5 March. The Summary Report sets out the key actions. This was the last year of the Three Year Children and Young People's Plan and draft priorities had been identified for 2010/11. The second draft would be circulated for comments and feedback. Financial implications associated with the publication of the plan are a cost of £5000 for production and distribution and support for consultation.</p>	
2.3 Voices in Action	
<p>The VIA paper was presented by Becky. The next topic for discussion could be Safeguarding, but VIA are not normally present at the Executive when it is a joint meeting held with the Safeguarding board.</p>	
<p>Members of Voices in Action had worked in groups to discuss their priorities:</p>	
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Perceptions of young people Influences on young people University Fees (Youth Parliament Campaign) 	
3 Strategic Discussion – Think Family Presentation	
<p>Overview of Systems reforms, statistics and the Think Family Structure. Two Think Family champions had been funded to 2011.</p>	
<p>Family Intervention Project (FIP) funding comes to an end in March 2011 and it needs to be clear how to take this forward. This had looked at outcomes for families and was now looking at another 50 families who had not quite made FIP. The</p>	

amounts of services and resources needed for those families were causing pressures – these were needy families. A response would be received on 17 May; there would then be a need to redesign the service for those families from March 2011 onwards. It was important to get the service design right, to take the pressure off some localities - why decisions had been taken and what could have been done earlier on. The approach would be to look at evidence-based parenting courses and how we build on those, also looking at families more holistically and in the round.

RS

Cost effectiveness was questioned and whether these families were vulnerable. This is not always the case, although they would be highly challenging. Focus needs to be given to those that are quietly vulnerable – there is a need to ensure they get same service.

Although there are certain families who go into FIP, there is also, underneath these 27 families, another wedge of families with complex difficulties and it was questioned whether outcomes had been any different from them.

Rita explained that the FIP was almost draconian in its assertive outreach approach but had a lot of benefits, including getting children and adult's agendas together. The FIP provide intensive support to the family. They will go into the family and see them everyday. Assessment is carried out on children and adults and they look at what is causing the anti social behaviour and look to remedy it. Targets could be something like getting a child to school, health checks, attending the job centre etc. Every facet of life is targeted and the family is supported to access what they need. The process usually lasts between six and twelve months, with gradual weaning off.

Andrew questioned how to develop the capacity. This type of model had been very successful nationally but is not sure whether the families in the FIP are the right ones. Discussions will be had about them. It is about re-engineering the service delivery and a Think Family approach to those causing all the issues, with the aim to take the ethos further and further down.

▪

Early intervention – to be brought back in a couple of months. Continue to invest in Derby Homes - £400k. Robust discussions need to be had and a very clear evidence base is needed. The Institute of Public Care should provide that. The Parenting Commissioning Group can also be used for people here to feed views into. The Schools representative is also on that. RS to liaise with Adult Social Care - where does one end and other begin?

4 Regional Improvement and Efficiency Partnership (RIEP)

Andrew reported on the RIEP and informed the meeting that the regions have funding from the Government to use for projects looking at more effective ways of delivering services and making efficiencies in budgets. Nine East Midlands authorities are involved, with funding for four areas: safeguarding; better commissioning; child health and leadership development. The latter will be linked to the work of the National College for Schools and Children's Leadership. We will have nine places; this will be promoted to all agencies. Nominations will be brought back to AF for sign off.

5 Any Other Business

- Joint meeting – LSCB/Children's Trust - Andrew asked for agenda items for next meeting on 10 May to be submitted. This would be similar to the meeting that took place last year, which was a joint meeting with the Derby Safeguarding Children Board, around accountabilities. Part of the session will be looking at outcomes of Serious Case Reviews and safeguarding outcomes. There could be two SCRs to report back on by then.

City for Children and Young People

- It was decided that Rita would take the Chair, instead of Trish Thompson.
- Andrew gave huge thanks to everyone for the help and support they had given him over the last four years as Chair of the Children's Trust and invited everyone to join him at his leaving party at Derby Rugby Club.